**IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL**

**Writ Petition No. 39 of 2005 (MB)**

Dinesh Chandra Agrawal S/o Late Asha Ram Agrawal,
R/o Sadar Bazar, Haldwani,
Police Station Haldwani,
District Nainital …… Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal
through Investigating Officer
of Case Crime No. 575 of 2000,
P.S. Haldwani, District Nainital
2. Sri D.C. Pandey, Senior Branch Manager,
Bank of Baroda, Haldwani,
District Nainital. ……. Respondents.

……

Sri Subhash Upadhyaya, Advocate on behalf of Sri N.C. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Sri D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondent no. 1.
None for respondent no. 2.

**JUDGMENT**

**Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.**
**Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.**

**RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)**

Sri Subhash Upadhayaya, Advocate on behalf of Sri N.C. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sri D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondent no. 1.

None for respondent no.2.

2. Sri Sharma, the learned Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of the First Information Report and the case registered against him now has become infructuous as the police after completion of the Investigation has submitted final report in the case before the concerning Court.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

**(Prafulla C. Pant, J.)** 23.06.2006

**(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)** 23.06.2006

A